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A procedure for separation and enrichment of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions based upon carrier element-free coprecipitation by using an organic coprecipitant, 2-{4-[2-(1H-Indol-3-yl)ethyl]-3-(4-methylbenzyl)-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl]-N0-(3-fluoro-phenylmethyliden) acetohydrazide, prior to their flame atomic absorption spectrometric detections has been developed. The effects of varied experimental conditions on the performance of the developed method such as pH, sample volume, amount of coprecipitating agent, etc. were evaluated in detail on the recovery of analyte ions, and the influences of some anions and cations were investigated. The limits of detection for Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions based on three times the standard deviation of the blanks (N: 10) were obtained as 0.70 μgL−1 and 1.21 μgL−1, respectively. The accuracy of the method was tested by analyzing a certified reference material and by spike tests. The method was applied to determine the levels of cadmium and nickel in stream and sea water, rice, red lentil, and wheat samples.
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1. Introduction

Determination of metals in environmental samples is an important part of analytical chemistry. Nowadays, the pollution by metals is a major interest owing to their potential toxic effects on living organisms (Tuzen et al. 2007, 2009). There has been an increasing interest in studying the toxicity and effect of elements on human health and environment. Cd(II) is one of the most hazardous elements for human health. Cd(II) is known to be excessively toxic even at low concentrations and it can be accumulated in organs such as kidney, liver, and lungs, causing serious problems such as arteriosclerosis and cancer. The World Health Organization (WHO) has set a limit of 3.0 μgL−1 for Cd(II) ions in drinking water (WHO 1998; Afridi et al. 2006; Tabrizi 2007). In modern industry, metallic nickel is also widely used. Ni(II) and its compounds of disposal cause environmental pollution. The inhalation and ingestion of Ni(II) and its by-products may cause serious...
problems such as lung fibrosis, cardiovascular, and nasopharyngeal diseases (IARC 1990; Kalyakina et al. 2003). Hence, the development of sensitive methods is important for the determination of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions in water and food samples (Baytak and Turker 2006). Various analytical techniques, such as inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) (Ataro et al. 2008), inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) (Kagaya et al. 2005), flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) (Doganlar and Atmaca 2010), and electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry (ETAAS) (Daftsis and Zachariadis 2007), are widely used for determination of metals in environmental samples. The preferred method for the determination of metal concentrations is FAAS due to its low cost and comparatively simple usage (Srogi 2008). The low concentrations of analyte ions and the interference effects of the matrix components in real samples are the main problems in the determination of metal ions by FAAS. Separation and preconcentration procedures have been efficiently used to solve these problems (Saracoglu, Soylak, and Elci 2001; Uzun et al. 2002; Tian et al. 2010). The commonly used separation and preconcentration methods are cloud point extraction (Xiang et al. 2012), solid-phase extraction (Soylak et al. 2001; Narin et al. 2001), solvent extraction (Lajunen and Kubin 1998), and coprecipitation (Aydin and Soylak 2007). Among them, the coprecipitation method, performed by using various inorganic or organic coprecipitants as efficient collectors of metals, is widely preferred due to its simplicity, lower cost, and high enrichment factors (Saracoglu, Soylak, and Elci 2001). Inorganic coprecipitants such as zirconium (Citak, Tuzen, and Soylak 2009) and iron (Duan et al. 2003) hydroxides and organic ligands like dithiocarbamates (Baysal, Akman, and Calisir 2008) have been used for preconcentration of different metal ions from environmental samples. However, carrier elements (Mg, Ni, Cu) used for the formation of precipitate can interfere during the analytical work. Lately, a new coprecipitation method, carrier element-free coprecipitation (CEFC), has been developed. The CEFC method has many benefits for separation and preconcentration of metals due to the lack of contamination and adsorption risks for metal ions from a carrier element (Bulut et al. 2008; Saracoglu and Soylak 2010). Triazole derivatives are attractive compounds for various analytical chemistry studies as indicator for acid–base titrations (Gundogdu et al. 2008; Bulut et al. 2010) and as coprecipitant for separation and preconcentration of metal ions (Bulut et al. 2008; Duran et al. 2009).

In this paper, the CEFC method was applied for separation and preconcentration of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions from aqueous media using 2-{4-[2-(1H-Indol-3-yl)ethyl]-3-(4-methylbenzyl)-5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl]-3-fluoro-phenylmethyliden} acetohydrazide (IMOTFAH) as an organic coprecipitant. The method was applied to determine the cadmium and nickel levels of some food and water samples.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Apparatus

The determination of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions was carried out using an atomic absorption spectrometer (AAnalyst 400, Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, CT, USA) with an air-acetylene and a 10-cm-long burner head. The instrumental parameters were set as advised by the manufacturer. The pH of the aqueous solutions was adjusted by using a glass electrode (Hanna pH-211, HANNA instruments, Cluj, Romania). The pH meter was calibrated by using pH 4.0, 7.0, and 10.0 buffer solutions. A centrifuge (3-16P model, Sigma
Laborzentrifugen, Osterode am Harz, Germany) was used for centrifugation of solutions. A temperature- and pressure-controlled closed-vessel microwave system (Ethos D, Milestore Inc., Bergamo, Italy) at maximum pressure 100 atm, and a maximum temperature of 300 °C was used to digest the solid samples.

2.2. Reagents

All of the chemical reagents used were analytical grade and obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) or Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland). Double-distilled water was used for each dilution. The standard and working solutions of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions were prepared by the dilution of 1000 mg L⁻¹ stock solutions of the given elements purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany). The pH adjustments were carried out both by diluted HNO₃ and NaOH solutions. In this study, IMOTFAH was used as a coprecipitating agent. The detailed information of its synthesis was given in the literature (Bektas et al. 2010). A solution of 0.2% (w/v) IMOTFAH in a dimethyl sulfoxide/ethanol (1:1) mixture was prepared. The CRM-SA-C Sandy Soil C certified reference material (CRM) used in the analytical studying was supplied from High-Purity Standard Inc. (Charleston, SC, USA).

2.3. Model work procedure

To optimize the present CEFC procedure, 1.0 mL of 0.2% (w/v) IMOTFAH solution was added into 50 mL of model solutions including 1.0 μg Cd(II) and 5.0 μg Ni(II) ions. Then, the pH of the solution was adjusted to 7.5 by addition of diluted HNO₃ or NaOH solutions. After 20 min of standing time, the solution was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was removed. The remaining precipitate in the plastic tube was dissolved in 1.0 mL of concentrated nitric acid. The solution was diluted to 2.0 mL with distilled water and the content of analyte ions in the final volume was determined by FAAS.

2.4. Analysis of real samples

The present CEFC method was applied to analyses of various environmental liquid and solid real samples. The water samples – sea water (Black Sea, Trabzon/Turkey) and stream water (Sana stream, Trabzon/Turkey) – were acidified to pH 2.0 with diluted HNO₃ after collection. The samples (100 mL) were filtered through Millipore cellulose membrane filter (0.45-μm pore size) and the pH values of the water samples were adjusted to 7.5. The CEFC method was performed on the samples after addition of an appropriate amount of 0.2% (w/v) IMOTFAH. The final volumes of the water samples diluted to 2.0 mL with distilled water and the content of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions were determined by FAAS.

An aliquot of 0.100 g of CRM-SA-C-Sandy Soil C, 0.750 g of rice, wheat, and red lentil samples were weighed into Teflon vessels, separately. Then, 6 mL of HNO₃ and 2 mL of H₂O₂ for rice, wheat, and red lentil samples, 4.5 mL of HCl, 1.5 mL of HNO₃, 1 mL of HF, and 2 mL of H₂O₂ for the CRM-SA-C Sandy Soil C standard were added into the vessels. The digestion conditions for the microwave system were 5 min at 250 W, 6 min at 400 W, 6 min at 650 W, 6 min at 250 W, at 45 bars pressure and ventilation of 3 min. After microwave digestion, the final volumes of the samples were made
up to 50 mL with distilled water. The preconcentration procedure described in Section 2.3 was applied to the samples. The levels of the Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions were determined by FAAS after the final volume of the solutions was made up to 2.0 mL with distilled/deionized water.

3. Results and discussion

The effect of pH on the recoveries of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions was examined in the solution pH range of 2.0–10.0 (Figure 1). Maximum recovery values (>95%) were obtained at pH 7.0–8.0, so all further experiments were performed at pH 7.5.

The effect of the amount of IMOTFAH on the coprecipitation of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions between 0 mg and 6.0 mg was studied (Figure 2). The recoveries were below 80%

Figure 1. Relationship between the determined levels of analyte recovery and pH (N: 3, sample volume: 50 mL, amount of IMOTFAH: 2.0 mg, standing time: 20 min, centrifugation speed: 3000 rpm, centrifugation time: 5 min).

Figure 2. Influences of amount of IMOTFAH on the recovery values of analytes (N: 3, pH: 7.5, sample volume: 50 mL).
without IMOTFAH but increased with the amount of IMOTFAH. Quantitative recoveries were obtained under the addition of 2.0 mg (1.0 mL of a 0.2% (w/v) solution) of IMOTFAH and this amount was used in all other experiments.

The influence of the sample volume was examined between 50 mL and 1000 mL. For more than 100 mL, the recoveries were not quantitative (Figure 3). Obviously, a pre-concentration factor of 50 is achieved when the sample volume is 100 mL and the final volume is 2.0 mL.

The performance of CEFC procedure was also investigated depending upon standing time (0–30 min), centrifugation speed (1000–3500 rpm) and centrifugation time (5–30 min). Quantitative recoveries were obtained for 20 min of standing time and centrifugation at 3000 rpm, for 5 min.

3.1. Effect of matrix ions

“Matrix effects” in the flame atomic absorption spectrometric detection of metal ions is an important problem (Soylak, Akkaya, and Elci 2002; Armagan et al. 2002; Kaplan et al. 2004; Jeong et al. 2010; Zhao et al. 2010). Different amounts of alkali and earth alkali ions and anions were added to the solutions consisting fixed amounts of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions and the coprecipitation method was applied to these solutions to investigate influences of matrix components. The results are summarized in Table 1. The existence of matrix ions has no notable effect on the separation and preconcentration of Ni(II) and Cd(II) ions under the selected conditions. Eventually, the CEFC method can be performed to the samples that consist of various foreign ions at allowable levels.

3.2. Analytical performance of the method

The precision of the method was evaluated as the relative standard deviation (RSD) obtained after replicated analyzing samples. In order to evaluate the precision of the determination of Cd (II) and Ni(II) ions (1.0 μg Cd(II) and 5.0 μg Ni(II) in 50 mL aqueous solution), the procedure was repeated ten times under optimum conditions mentioned...
in Section 2.3. The RSD values for Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions were found to be 2.0 and 3.2, respectively. The limit of detection (LOD) based on three times the standard deviations of the blank solutions with the CEFC step was found to be 1.21 mg L\(^{-1}\)/C\(_0\) for Ni(II) and 0.70 mg L\(^{-1}\)/C\(_0\) for Cd(II) ions. The present method is suitable for the determination of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions in several samples with adequately good recoveries and low RSDs and detection limits.

### 3.3. Application of the real samples

The validation of the presented method was checked by analyzing a CRM (CRM-SA-C-Sandy Soil C). After 0.1 g of the CRM had been digested with the microwave digestion system, mentioned in Section 2.4, the developed method was applied. The experimental data (101 ± 6 µg g\(^{-1}\) for Cd(II), 47.2 ± 2.6 µg g\(^{-1}\) for Ni(II)) were in good agreement with the certified values of the CRM (109 ± 8 µg g\(^{-1}\) for Cd(II), 48.4 ± 3.0 µg g\(^{-1}\) for Ni(II)) and the recovery values were found to be higher than 92%.

The accuracy of the CEFC procedure for the determination of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ion content in different natural waters and solid samples was also tested by applying spike tests. For this aim, different amounts of analyte ions were added in 25 mL of stream and sea water and 0.750 g of rice, red lentil, and wheat samples (Table 2). After application of the present procedure to these samples, the Cd(II) and Ni(II) ion concentrations were determined by direct calibration method. This method could be applied for the separation and preconcentration of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions in environmental water and solid samples.

The CEFC method was finally applied to the real solid (rice, red lentil, and wheat samples) and liquid samples (sea water and stream water). The results obtained from the real samples are summarized in Table 3.
Table 2. Test of addition/recovery for Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions from some food and water samples (N: 3, pH: 7.5, quantity of IMOTFAH: 2.0 mg, liquid sample volumes: 25 mL, solid sample quantities: 0.75 g, final volumes: 2.0 mL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Added (µg)</th>
<th>Found (µg)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>Found (µg)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>Found (µg)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>Found (µg)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cd(II)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BDL a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.05</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.10</td>
<td>94.5</td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.03</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.25</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.85 ± 0.09</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>3.80 ± 0.15</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>4.18 ± 0.04</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.42</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(II)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BDL a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.40 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.93 ± 0.12</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>4.53 ± 0.06</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>7.90 ± 0.21</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>6.45 ± 0.14</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9.43 ± 0.60</td>
<td>94.3</td>
<td>9.32 ± 0.32</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>12.88 ± 0.25</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.21</td>
<td>93.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Below detection limit.
4. Conclusions

The separation and preconcentration method based on CEFC is a simple, economical, and accurate technique for the determination of Cd(II) and Ni(II) ions in rice, red lentil, wheat samples, and stream and sea water samples. We have recommended the use of coprecipitation as an alternative method which combines FAAS with preconcentration of the analyte ions by an organic coprecipitant (IMOTFAH) without carrier element. Therefore, there is no contamination risk from a carrier element. This method is also environment friendly because of the usage of fewer chemicals. The experimental parameters indicated that the presented CEFC method could be successfully applied to routine determination of cadmium and nickel levels in environmental and other food samples with high accuracy and low detection limits.
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Table 3. Analyte levels in real samples after being applied the presented procedure (N: 3, sample volume: 100 mL, sample quantities: 0.75 g of rice, red lentil, and wheat samples, final volumes: 2.0 mL).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Liquid samples (µg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Solid samples (µg g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sea water</td>
<td>Stream water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd(II)</td>
<td>4.48 ± 0.11</td>
<td>5.40 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(II)</td>
<td>6.96 ± 0.18</td>
<td>7.45 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


